## TRANSCRIPTION

## **Broadside in Opposition to Abolishing Slavery, ca. 1790**

FRANCIS CORBIN

## A PLAIN PLANTER begs leave to ask\* his Fellow Citizens a few Q U E S T I O N S.

DID you authorize your representatives in Congress, when you elected them, to violate *any* part of the Constitution?

If they have done so in *one* instance have you not every reason to apprehend that they may do so in *others*?

Was not the Quaker's memorial for the abolition of the slave trade in *direct opposition* to the 9th section of the first article of the Constitution?

After receiving that memorial then and giving it a fair hearing *for the sake of decency* and *uniformity* of *practice*, ought not the question *for referring* it to a *Committee* to have been rejected, as a question *not Constitutionally cognizable* by *Congress*?

But did not *some* of your Representatives vote, in *defiance* of the *Constitution*, for *referring* it to the *ticklish* and *irritating* discussion of a Committee?

Were not the debates upon that occasion dangerous and impolitic?

Have they not excited doubts and fears, alarms and apprehensions thro' all the southern states?

Ought they not have been prevented if possible? and was it not an easy thing to have prevented them by adhering to the Constitution and rejecting the *reference* of the memorial?

May they not do mischief, in the end, by exciting a degree of insolence in our slaves bordering perhaps on resistance?

Do you not consider this memorial as the first step towards a general emancipation?

If so, ought it not to have been stopped in the beginning?

Would you not rather crush this *crocodile* in its egg than suffer it to grow up into the size of a monster and devour you?

In a word, my fellow citizens, if it were right to commit and debate this question concerning slaves, which the Constitution forbade them to touch, might they not, with equal propriety, commit and debate a petition for a Church establishment or for septennial instead of biennial elections, or for any other anti-constitutional absurdity? Do you approve of the practice, introduced by some of your Representatives in Congress, of procuring certificates, from members of *other states*, to justify their conduct to their Constituents?

LIBRARY

Page 1 of 2

**Education and Outreach Division** 

## TRANSCRIPTION

If this *new fashon* be *continued* and *tolerated*, may not the people of the United States be kept in eternal darkness?

For may not the members of different states *play* into each others hands by *exchanging* certificates, *whenever occasion may require* it, to *favor* their respective elections?

\*The printer of this document used the long or leading s, a character that looks similar to the letter "f" but is used as an "s," often as the first of a double s as in assign or address.

Citation: Broadside 179- .P698 FF, Special Collections, Library of Virginia, Richmond, Virginia.

